## Exhibit B

```
1
                           Conference
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
     -----x
 2
 3
     MONIQUE DA SILVA MOORE, et
 3
     al.,
 4
 4
                   Plaintiffs,
                                     New York, N.Y.
 5
 5
                                     11 Civ. 1279 (RJS)
               v.
 6
 6
     PUBLICIS GROUPE, and MSL
 7
     GROUP,
 7
 8
                   Defendants.
 8
 9
     -----x
9
10
                                          December 14, 2012
10
                                          11:05 a.m.
11
11
    Before:
12
                         HON. ANDREW J. PECK,
12
13
13
                                          U.S. Magistrate Judge
14
14
                             APPEARANCES
15
15
   SANFORD WITTELS & HEISLER
16
         Attorneys for Plaintiffs
16 BY: THOMAS J. HENDERSON
          SUSAN RUBENSTEIN
17
17
          SIHAM NURHUSSEIN
18
     JACKSON LEWIS LLP
18
19
         Attorneys for Defendant MSL Group
19
     BY: VICTORIA WOODIN CHAVEY
20
         JEFFREY W. BRECHER
20
         BRETT M. ANDERS
21
22
     MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
22
         Attorneys for Defendant Publicis
23 BY: PAUL C. EVANS
24
25
                   SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

(212) 805-0300

14 Ccenmooc Conference then the interpretation issues you said, well, we'll figure 2 that out after the 30(b)(6) depositions. Now when I say, 3 great, let's put teeth on that, I get a letter that says you 4 want everything and a pony and a zoo now. 5 So you are wasting my time. I'm not happy. And the 6 answer is going to be if you want merits discovery, you can 7 have merits discovery limited to the issue of class 8 certification, not every individual who was ever promoted or 9 anything like that. And we'll go back to the predictive coding 10 world, and there will be no additional discovery at the end of 11 it, period. 12 Is that what you want? 13 MR. HENDERSON: Your Honor, may I respond? 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$  . HENDERSON: I think there is a fundamental issue 16 that hasn't been -- well, the difficulty, the fundamental 17 difficulty is what one says is appropriate merits class 18 discovery. 19 THE COURT: I guess you changed your mind on that 20 between the 7th and the 14th. MR. HENDERSON: Actually not, your Honor. But let me 21 22 explain first, and then I can address whatever differences 2.3 there are. 24 THE COURT: OK. First, stop. One thing. The only 25 trial counsel will be the three of you who are here. Is that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

15 Ccenmooc Conference what you are telling me? Because I'm tired of the changing cast of characters, the changing position. So if Ms. Wippel wants to be trial counsel instead of pulling the strings from 3 4 California, if that's what's going on, then we can come here. 5 Otherwise, I don't want anything submitted from her. I don't 6 want her to be here at trial if the case gets that far or I do 7 want her here. 8 But I have the feeling that between the departure of 9 your former named partner, I don't know what's going on, but 10 things keep changing, and enough is enough. 11 So are the two of you the only partner level -- and 12 I'm not even sure you are partners from your cards, I don't 13 really care -- are you two the ones who are going try the case 14 with Ms. Nurhussein, and that's it? Yes or no. 15 MR. HENDERSON: Yes. We may be joined by some others, 16 but we are going to be lead counsel. 17 THE COURT: OK. And Ms. Wippel, who I would assume is senior to you, is not going to be here at trial or she is? 18 19 MR. HENDERSON: At this point we do not contemplate 20 that she would be. It's Wipper. 21 THE COURT: Wipper. Sorry. It's been a while. 22 OK. Her pro hac vice is therefore ended. No more 2.3 papers from her, no more ghost writing. You two are in charge. 24 Write it. 25 OK. Now that we have taken care of that, now you tell

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300